The 31st March, 1983

No. 1D/31/83/15625.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial Dispute exists between workman Shri Roop Chand and the management of M/s The Engineer-in-Chief Thermal Power House H. S. E. B. Faridabad, regarding the matters hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to the dispute for adjudication,

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refer to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7A of the said Act, the matters specified below, being either matter to dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether termination of service of Shri Roop Chand was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

The 3rd May, 1983

No. ID/FD/60-83/20690.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ashok Kumar and the management of M/s Eicher Goodearth Ltd. N. I. T. Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter to dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ashok Kumar was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/BHN/117-82/20697.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Dariya Singh and the management of Haryana Roadways, Jind regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO (E) Lab/70/13648, dated the 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-1-Lab-70/32573, dated the 6th November, 1970 the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevnt to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Dariya Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

The 6th May, 1983

No. ID/RTK/27-83/21433.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workmen Shri Ram Kumar and the management of M/s 1. Haryana State Federation of Consumers Co-oprative whole-sale stores Ltd., Chandigarh. District Manager, Confed Rohtak, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Rohtak constituted, under Section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947—vide Government notification No. 3864—ASO(E) Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573 dated 6th November, 1970 the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Ram Kumar was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?